arXiv:1409.0694v2 [math.NT] 15 Apr 2015

p-ADIC PROPERTIES OF MODULAR SHIFTED CONVOLUTION DIRICHLET SERIES

KATHRIN BRINGMANN, MICHAEL H. MERTENS, AND KEN ONO

Abstract. Hoffstein and Hulse recently introduced the notion of *shifted convolution Dirichlet series* for pairs of modular forms f_1 and f_2. The second two authors investigated certain special values of *symmetrized sums* of such functions, numbers which are generally expected to be mysterious transcendental numbers. They proved that the generating functions of these values in the h-aspect are linear combinations of mixed mock modular forms and quasimodular forms. Here we examine the special cases when $f_1 = f_2$ where, in addition, there is a prime p for which p^2 divides the level. We prove that the mixed mock modular form is a linear combination of at most two weight 2 weakly holomorphic p-adic modular forms.

1. Introduction and statement of results

Suppose that $f_1, f_2 \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ are even integer weight k cusp forms with L-functions

$$L(f_j, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_j(n)}{n^s}.$$

Rankin and Selberg [15, 16] independently introduced the so-called *Rankin-Selberg convolution*

$$L(f_1 \otimes f_2, s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_1(n)\overline{a_2(n)}}{n^s},$$

one of the most fundamental objects in the theory of automorphic forms. Later in 1965, Selberg [17] introduced *shifted convolution* L-functions, series which play an important role in progress towards Ramanujan-type conjectures for Fourier coefficients and the Lindelöf Hypothesis for automorphic L-functions inside the critical strip.

In a recent paper, Hoffstein and Hulse [8][1] introduced the *shifted convolution series*

$$D(f_1, f_2, h; s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_1(n+h)\overline{a_2(n)}}{n^s}. \tag{1.1}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F37, 11G40, 11G05, 11F67.

The research of the first author was supported by the Alfried Krupp Prize for Young University Teachers of the Krupp foundation and the research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013) / ERC Grant agreement n. 335220 - AQSER. The second author thanks the DFG-Graduiertenkolleg 1269 'Global Structures in Geometry and Analysis' for the financial support of his research. The third author thanks the National Science Foundation and the Asa Griggs Candler Fund for their generous support.

[1]Here we choose slightly different normalizations for Dirichlet series from those that appear in [8].

They obtained the meromorphic continuation of these series and certain multiple Dirichlet series which are obtained by additionally summing in h aspect.

The second two authors recently investigated various symmetrized forms of these Dirichlet series [11], and they proved that the generating functions of certain special values in the h-aspect are sums of mixed mock modular forms and quasimodular forms.

Here we study the special case where $f_1 = f_2$ is an even integer weight newform. For each positive integer h we define the *symmetrized shifted convolution* Dirichlet series

$$\widehat{D}(f, f, h; s) := D(f, f, h; s) - D\left(\overline{f}, \overline{f}, -h; s\right). \tag{1.2}$$

If f has weight k, then we define the generating function

$$\mathbb{L}(f, f; \tau) := \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \widehat{D}(f, f, h; k-1) q^h, \tag{1.3}$$

where $q := e^{2\pi i \tau}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, the upper-half of the complex plane. In [11], the second two authors proved that $\mathbb{L}(f, f; \tau)$ is the sum of a weight 2 mixed mock modular form and a weight 2 quasimodular form.

For example, if $f = \Delta$, the normalized weight 12 cusp form on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, then we have that

$$\mathbb{L}(\Delta, \Delta; \tau) = -33.383\ldots q + 266.439\ldots q^2 - 1519.218\ldots q^3 + 4827.434\ldots q^4 - \ldots . \tag{1.4}$$

Using the usual Eisenstein series $E_{2k} = E_{2k}(\tau)$ and Klein's j-function, we let

$$\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} r(n) q^n := -\Delta\left(j^2 - 1464j - \alpha^2 + 1464\alpha\right),$$

where $\alpha = 106.10455\ldots$. If $\beta = 2.8402\ldots$, then Theorem 1.1 of [11] is illustrated by the identity

$$\mathbb{L}(\Delta, \Delta; \tau) = -\frac{\Delta}{\beta}\left(\frac{65520}{691} - \sum_{n \neq 0} r(n) n^{-11} q^n\right) - \frac{E_2}{\beta}.$$

The first summand above is a weight 2 *mixed mock modular form*; it is essentially the product of the weight 12 modular form Δ with the weight -10 mock modular form (see Section 2)

$$\frac{65520}{691} - \sum_{n \neq 0} r(n) n^{-11} q^n.$$

The second summand $-E_2/\beta$ is a weight 2 quasimodular form.

The numerics in (1.4) provide evidence for the general belief that such special values are mysterious transcendental numbers. In this case, their algebraic properties are dictated by the constants α and β. In particular, these constants are the only sources of irrationality for the coefficients in the generating function. Therefore, to better understand these special values, it is natural to investigate situations where these q-series are nearly algebraic, and to then investigate their p-adic properties. In this note we identify one particular situation where these difficulties can be addressed.

To make this precise, we make use of Eichler integrals, p-adic modular forms, and weight 2 weakly holomorphic quasimodular forms. If $F(\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A(n) q^n$ is a weight k *weakly*

holomorphic modular form, one whose poles (if any) are supported at cusps, then its *Eichler integral* is

$$\mathcal{E}_F(\tau) := \sum_{n\neq 0} A(n) n^{1-k} q^n.$$

Following the seminal work[2] of Serre [19], we say that a q-series $G(q)$ is a *weakly holomorphic p-adic modular form* of weight k if there exists a sequence of weakly holomorphic modular forms whose coefficients p-adically tend to those of $G(q)$, with the additional property that their weights p-adically tend to k. Finally, we require weight 2 weakly holomorphic quasimodular forms. If $M_2^!(\Gamma_0(N))$ denotes the space of weight 2 weakly holomorphic modular forms on $\Gamma_0(N)$, then the space of *weight 2 weakly holomorphic quasimodular forms* is defined as

$$\widetilde{M}_2^!(\Gamma_0(N)) := \mathbb{C}E_2 \oplus M_2^!(\Gamma_0(N)).$$

For even weight newforms f whose level is divisible by the square of a prime p, we obtain the following theorem about the p-adic properties of $\mathbb{L}(f, f; \tau)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be an even weight newform. If p is a prime with $p^2 \mid N$, then there exist constants $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, a weight 2 weakly holomorphic quasimodular form $\mathcal{Q}_f \in \widetilde{M}_2^!(\Gamma_0(N))$, and a weight $2-k$ weakly holomorphic p-adic modular form $\mathcal{L}_f$ for which*

$$\mathbb{L}(f, f; \tau) = \delta_1 f(\tau)\mathcal{L}_f(\tau) + \delta_2 f(\tau)\mathcal{E}_f(\tau) + \mathcal{Q}_f(\tau).$$

Moreover, if f has complex multiplication, then there are choices with $\delta_2 = 0$.

Remark. The proof of Theorem 1.1 shows that $\mathcal{E}_f$ is also a weight $2-k$ p-adic modular form. In fact, it is a weight $2-k$ cuspidal p-adic modular form, a p-adic limit of cusp forms whose weights have p-adic limit $2-k$. Therefore, the mixed mock modular form $\delta_1 f\mathcal{L}_f + \delta_2 f\mathcal{E}_f$ is the linear combination of a weight 2 weakly holomorphic p-adic modular forms and a weight 2 cuspidal p-adic modular form. Moreover, if f has CM, then $\mathbb{L}(f, f; \tau)$ is a linear combination of the weight 2 weakly holomorphic p-adic modular form $f\mathcal{L}_f$ and a quasimodular form $\mathcal{Q}_f$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 makes use of the theory of harmonic Maass forms and the earlier work of the second two authors [11]. Theorem 1.1 of [11] implies that $\mathbb{L}(f, f; \tau)$ is a linear combination of a mixed mock modular form and a weight 2 weakly holomorphic quasimodular form. Therefore, to prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show that these mixed mock modular forms can be decomposed as a linear combination of a weight 2 weakly holomorphic p-adic modular form and $f\mathcal{E}_f$. We make use of previous work on the algebraic normalizations of mock modular forms [4, 6] and the theory of various types of Poincaré series (see Section 3) to establish the existence of these linear combinations. The relationships between the relevant Poincaré series under the differential operators D^{k-1} and ξ_{2-k} (see Section 2) plays a critical role in the proof of the theorem. The hypotheses in Theorem 1.1, combined with the theory of newforms, implies that the coefficients of the relevant Poincaré series vanish for those exponents that are divisible by p. This fact depends on an elementary lemma about Kloosterman sums (see Lemma 3.5). These results, combined with some of the more elementary features of Serre's theory of p-adic modular forms, proves that the mixed mock modular form can be viewed as a weight 2 p-adic modular form, which completes the proof

[2]The notion used here is slightly different from Serre's original definition. We do not require that p-adic modular forms are limits of holomorphic modular forms.

of Theorem 1.1. In Section 6 we give a detailed example illustrating Theorem 1.1 and some its consequences concerning the 3-adic properties of the symmetrized shifted convolution special values for the unique weight 4 newform on $\Gamma_0(9)$.

2. Harmonic Maass forms

In this brief section we recall the definition and most important facts about harmonic weak Maass forms. For more detailed information we refer the reader to [14, 20] and the references therein. Throughout, we denote by $\mathbb{H}$ the upper-half of the complex plane, and we write $\tau = x+iy \in \mathbb{H}$, while k is always an even integer. With this notation, we introduce the weight k *hyperbolic Laplacian*

$$\Delta_k := -y^2\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right) + iky\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right).$$

Recall the definition of the weight k *slash operator*. For a function $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we let

$$f|_k\gamma(\tau) := (c\tau + d)^{-k} f\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right).$$

A smooth function $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a *harmonic (weak) Maass form*[3] of weight $2-k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ on $\Gamma_0(N)$ if the following conditions hold:

(1) $f|_{2-k}\gamma = f$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)$;
(2) $\Delta_{2-k}(f) = 0$;
(3) There exists a polynomial $P_f(q) = \sum_{n \leq 0} c_f^+(n)q^n \in \mathbb{C}[q^{-1}]$ such that $f(\tau) - P_f(q) = O(e^{-\varepsilon y})$ as $y \to +\infty$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Analogous conditions are required at all cusps.

The vector space of harmonic Maass forms of weight $2-k$ on $\Gamma_0(N)$ is denoted by $H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(N))$.

Remark. Weight $2-k$ weakly holomorphic modular forms are annihilated by Δ_{2-k}, and so we naturally have that $M^!_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(N)) \subset H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(N))$.

The Fourier expansions of such forms split into a *holomorphic part* and a *non-holomorphic part*.

Lemma 2.1. *A harmonic Maass form f of weight $2-k$ has a splitting*

$$f(\tau) = f^+(\tau) + f^-(\tau),$$

where for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the Fourier expansions

$$f^+(\tau) := \sum_{n=m_0}^{\infty} c_f^+(n)q^n,$$

and

$$f^-(\tau) := \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \overline{c_f^-(n)}n^{k-1}\Gamma(1-k; 4\pi ny)q^{-n},$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha; x)$ denotes the usual incomplete Gamma-function.

[3]We often omit the word 'weak'.

The function f^+ (resp. f^-) is referred to as the *holomorphic part* (resp. *nonholomorphic part*) of the harmonic Maass form f. The holomorphic part of a harmonic Maass form is called a *mock modular form* whenever f^- is nontrivial. In many contexts one encounters products of mock modular forms with (weakly holomorphic) modular forms of some fixed weight. These objects and their linear combinations are called *mixed mock modular forms*.

Harmonic Maass forms are related naturally to classical elliptic modular forms by means of various differential operators. The following lemma includes one of the most fundamental relationships concerning the ξ-operator which was introduced Bruinier and Funke (see Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.7 of [3]).

Proposition 2.2. *If $f \in H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(N))$, then $\xi_{2-k}(f) := 2iy^{2-k}\overline{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{\tau}}}$ is a weight k cusp form on $\Gamma_0(N)$. The resulting map $\xi_{2-k} : H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(N)) \to S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ is surjective, and it has kernel $M^!_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(N))$. Moreover, we have that*

$$\xi_{2-k}(f)(\tau) = -(4\pi)^{k-1} \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} c_f^-(n) q^n.$$

Remark. The cusp form $-(4\pi)^{1-k}\xi_{2-k}(f)$ is called the *shadow* of the mock modular form f^+. Note that in the literature the normalization of the shadow may differ from the one chosen here.

3. Poincaré series

3.1. **The Poincaré series $P(m, k, N)$ and $Q(-m, k, N)$.** One very explicit way of constructing harmonic Maass forms with given (cuspidal) shadow uses Poincaré series, see for example [1]. In this section, we review the basic facts about them which we will use later.

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\varphi_m : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function which is $O(y^\alpha)$ for some $\alpha > 0$ as $y \to 0$. Define the function $\varphi_m^*(\tau) := \varphi_m(y)\exp(2\pi i m x)$. Further let $\Gamma_\infty := \{\pm \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \ : \ n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Obviously, the function φ_m^* is invariant under the action of Γ_∞. We define the general Poincaré series $\mathbb{P}(m, k, N, \varphi_m; \tau)$ by

$$\mathbb{P}(m, k, N, \varphi_m; \tau) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma_0(N)} \varphi_m^*|_k \gamma(\tau).$$

This series (if absolutely convergent), defines a function on the upper half plane, which transforms like a modular form of weight k on $\Gamma_0(N)$.

We single out two special cases ($m \in \mathbb{N}$), the first one the classical Poincaré series

$$P(m, k, N; \tau) := \mathbb{P}(m, k, N, \exp(-2\pi m y); \tau),$$

the second one the Maass-Poincaré series

$$Q(-m, k, N; \tau) := \mathbb{P}(-m, 2-k, N, \Phi_{-m}; \tau),$$

where $\Phi_{-m}(y) := \mathcal{M}_{1-\frac{k}{2}}(4\pi m y)$ and $\mathcal{M}_s(y)$ is a modified version of the Whittaker function $M_{\nu,\mu}$ (see e.g. [14], p. 39).

We next recall the definition of Kloosterman sums

$$K(m, n, c) := \sum_{d \pmod{c}^*} e\left(\frac{m\overline{d} + nd}{c}\right),$$

where $e(\alpha) := e^{2\pi i\alpha}$. The sum over d runs through the primitive residue classes modulo c, and $\overline{d}$ denotes the multiplicative inverse of d modulo c.

The Fourier expansions of the classical Poincaré series (see e.g. [9]), as well as those of the Maass-Poincaré series treated in e.g. [1, 2, 5, 7, 13], involve infinite sums of Kloosterman sums weighted by Bessel functions. The classical case is treated in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *If $k \geq 2$ is even and $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$, then the following are true for the Poincaré series*

$$P(m, k, N; \tau) = q^m + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_m(n) q^n.$$

(1) We have that $P(m, k, N; \tau) \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ and the coefficients are given by

$$a_m(n) = 2\pi(-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{c>0 \\ c\equiv 0 \pmod N}} \frac{K(m, n, c)}{c} \cdot J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right),$$

where J denotes the usual J-Bessel function.
(2) We have that $P(-m, k, N; \tau) \in M_k^!(\Gamma_0(N))$ and for positive integers n we have

$$a_{-m}(n) = 2\pi(-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{c>0 \\ c\equiv 0 \pmod N}} \frac{K(-m, n, c)}{c} \cdot I_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{|mn|}}{c}\right),$$

where I denotes the usual I-Bessel function.

For the case of Maass-Poincaré series we have the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *If $k \geq 2$ is even and $m, N \geq 1$, then $Q(-m, k, N; \tau)$ is in $H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(N))$. Moreover, we have a Fourier expansion of the shape*

$$Q(-m, k, N; \tau) = (1-k)\left(\Gamma(k-1; 4\pi m y) - \Gamma(k-1)\right) q^{-m} + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} c_m(n, y)\, q^n.$$

(1) If $n < 0$, then

$$c_m(n, y) = 2\pi i^k (1-k)\,\Gamma(k-1; 4\pi|n|y) \left|\frac{n}{m}\right|^{\frac{1-k}{2}} \times \sum_{\substack{c>0 \\ c\equiv 0 \pmod N}} \frac{K(-m, n, c)}{c} \cdot J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{|mn|}}{c}\right).$$

(2) If $n > 0$, then

$$c_m(n, y) = -2\pi i^k \Gamma(k) \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{1-k}{2}} \sum_{\substack{c>0 \\ c\equiv 0 \pmod N}} \frac{K(-m, n, c)}{c} \cdot I_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{|mn|}}{c}\right).$$

(3) If $n = 0$, then

$$c_m(0, y) = -(2\pi i)^k m^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{c>0 \\ c\equiv 0 \pmod N}} \frac{K(-m, 0, c)}{c^k}.$$

These two kinds of Poincaré series are intimately related via the ξ-operator from the theory of harmonic Maass forms. The proof of this fact follows easily from the formulas above.

Lemma 3.3. *If $k \geq 2$ is even and $m, N \geq 1$, then*

$$\xi_{2-k}(Q(-m,k,N;\tau)) = (4\pi)^{k-1}m^{k-1}(k-1)P(m,k,N;\tau) \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N)).$$

3.2. **Kloosterman sums.** Apart from their appearance in Fourier expansions of Poincaré series, Kloosterman sums are an important object of study for their own sake. We recall the following well-known multiplicativity property, which follows from the Chinese Remainder Theorem (see, e.g. equation (1.59) in [10]).

Lemma 3.4. *For coprime positive integers c and d we have*

$$K(m,n,c_1c_2) = K\left(m\overline{c_2}, n\overline{c_2}, c_1\right) K\left(m\overline{c_1}, n\overline{c_1}, c_2\right),$$

where $\overline{c_2}$ is the multiplicative inverse of c_2 modulo c_1 and vice versa.

This implies the following elementary, but important observation.

Lemma 3.5. *Let p be a prime and $m, n, c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \nmid m$. Then we have*

$$K\left(m, np, p^2c\right) = 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4 it suffices to show the assertion for c a power of p, say $cp^2 = p^r$ with $r \geq 2$. We write $d \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})^*$ as $d = s + \ell p^{r-1}$ with $s \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{r-1}\mathbb{Z})^*$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. It is easily checked that then $\overline{d} = \overline{s} - \overline{s}^2\ell p^{r-1}$, where $\overline{s}$ is the multiplicative inverse of s modulo p^{r-1}. Thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned} K(m,pn,p^r) &= \sum_{s \pmod{p^{r-1}}^*} \sum_{\ell \pmod{p}} e\left(\frac{m\left(\overline{s} - \overline{s}^2\ell p^{r-1}\right) + np\left(s + \ell p^{r-1}\right)}{p^r}\right) \\ &= \sum_{s \pmod{p^{r-1}}^*} e\left(\frac{m\overline{s} + pns}{p^r}\right) \sum_{\ell \pmod{s}} e\left(-\frac{\ell\overline{s}}{p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The inner sum runs over all pth roots of unity and is therefore zero, which in turn implies the claim. □

3.3. **The special case of newforms in Theorem 1.1.** Here we apply some of the previous results to the harmonic Maass forms and weakly holomorphic modular forms which are pertinent to Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.6. *Let f be as in Theorem 1.1. Then the following are all true.*

(1) *We have that f may be expressed as a finite linear combination of the form*

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{p \nmid m} \alpha_m P(m,k,N;\tau),$$

with $\alpha_m \in \mathbb{C}$.

(2) *In terms of the linear combination in (1), if $Q(\tau) := \sum_{p \nmid m} \frac{\alpha_m}{m^{k-1}} Q(-m,k,N;\tau)$, then*

$$\xi_{2-k}(Q) = (4\pi)^{k-1}(k-1)f.$$

(3) *If* $Q^+(\tau) = \sum_n a_Q^+(n)q^n$, *then* $a_Q^+(pn) = 0$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(4) *We have that* $D^{k-1}(Q^+) \in M_k^!(\Gamma_0(N))$, *where* $D := \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$ *denotes the renormalized holomorphic derivative.*

Proof. (1) Since $f(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_f(n)q^n$ is a newform on $\Gamma_0(N)$ with $p^2 \mid N$, we know from Theorem 4.6.17. of [12] that the coefficient $a_f(p)$ vanishes, thus, by multiplicativity, we have $a_f(pn) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the Petersson coefficient formula

$$\langle f, P(m, k, N; \bullet)\rangle = \frac{(k-2)!}{(4\pi m)^{k-1}} a_f(m)$$

we therefore see that every Poincaré series $P(pm, k, N; \bullet)$ lies in the orthogonal complement of f, which implies our assertion.

Claim (2) follows immediately from Lemma 3.3, claim (3) is clear from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.5, and (4) is an immediate consequence of Bol's identity

$$D^{k-1}(f) = (-4\pi)^{1-k} R_{2-k}^{k-1}(f),$$

where $R_k = 2i\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{k}{y}$ denotes the classical Maass raising operator and

$$R_k^n := R_{k+2(n-1)} \circ \ldots \circ R_{k+2} \circ R_k,$$

whose extension to harmonic Maass forms is Theorem 1.1 of [4]. □

4. The generating function of shifted convolution L-values

In this section we recall the main ideas and results from [11]. For this, let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be a cusp form with even weight $k \geq 2$. Recall the definitions of the shifted convolution Dirichlet series $D(f, f, h; s)$ from (1.1) and of the symmetrized shifted convolution Dirichlet series $\widehat{D}(f, f, h; s)$ from (1.2). The following theorem is a special case of a theorem proved by the second two authors.

Theorem 4.1 ([11], Theorem 1.1)**.** *The generating function* $\mathbb{L}(f, f; \tau)$ *from* (1.3) *is the sum of a weight* 2 *mixed mock modular form and a weight 2 weakly holomorphic quasimodular form on* $\Gamma_0(N)$. *More precisely, if* M_f *denotes a harmonic Maass form whose shadow is* f, *then there exists a weakly holomorphic quasimodular form* $F \in \widetilde{M}_2^!(\Gamma_0(N))$ *such that*

$$\mathbb{L}(f, f; \tau) = -\frac{1}{(k-2)!} M_f^+(\tau) \cdot f(\tau) + F(\tau).$$

Two remarks.
(1) In [11] the second two authors considered a more general definition of the symmetrized Dirichlet series for pairs of cusp forms f_1 and f_2 with weights $k_1 \geq k_2$. For every non-negative integer ν with $\nu \leq \frac{k_1-k_2}{2}$ they investigated analogous generating functions $\mathbb{L}^{(\nu)}(f_1, f_2; \tau)$ which involve higher weight modular forms arising from f_1 and f_2. For these generating functions they proved a similar result where one replaces the mixed mock modular forms by the νth order Rankin-Cohen bracket $[M_{f_1}^+, f_2]_\nu$.

(2) If we can choose the function M_f so that $M_f^+ \cdot f$ is holomorphic on the complex upper half-plane and bounded near representatives of all cusps of $\Gamma_0(N)$, then we can

find the function F in Theorem 4.1 in the finite-dimensional space of quasimodular forms $\widetilde{M}_2(\Gamma_0(N)) = M_2(\Gamma_0(N)) \oplus \mathbb{C}E_2$. We note that such an M_f usually does not exist.

For concrete examples it is often handy to work with the following immediate corollary of Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 3.3.

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose that $k \geq 2$ is even and m is a positive integer. If $P(\tau) := P(m, k, N; \tau) \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ and $Q(\tau) := Q(-m, k, N; \tau) \in H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(N))$, then*

$$\mathbb{L}(P, P; \tau) = \frac{1}{m^{k-1} \cdot (k-1)!} \cdot Q^+(\tau)P(\tau) + F(\tau),$$

where $F \in \widetilde{M}_2^!(\Gamma_0(N))$. Moreover, if $m = 1$, then $F \in \widetilde{M}_2(\Gamma_0(N))$.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be a normalized newform of non-squarefree level N whose coefficients lie in a number field K and let p be a prime with $p^2 \mid N$. Further let $\mathcal{M}_f(\tau)$ be a harmonic Maass form with holomorphic part

$$\mathcal{M}_f^+(\tau) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c^+(n) q^n$$

which is *good* for f in the sense of [4, 6]. This means that $\mathcal{M}_f$ has the following properties:

(1) The principal part of $\mathcal{M}_f^+$ at the cusp ∞ is in $K[q^{-1}]$.
(2) The principal parts of $\mathcal{M}_f^+$ at all other cusps is constant.
(3) We have that $\xi_{2-k}(\mathcal{M}_f) = \frac{f}{\|f\|^2}$, where $\|f\|$ denotes the Petersson norm of f.

By Proposition 5.1 in [4] we know that such an $\mathcal{M}_f$ always exists.

Now we know from Theorem 4.1 that

$$\mathbb{L}(f, f; \tau) = \frac{(4\pi)^{k-1}\|f\|^2}{(k-2)!}\mathcal{M}_f^+(\tau)f(\tau) + \mathcal{Q}_f(\tau), \tag{5.1}$$

for a suitable weakly holomorphic quasimodular form $\mathcal{Q}_f \in \widetilde{M}_2^!(\Gamma_0(N))$. Theorem 1.1 in [6] yields that for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\alpha - c^+(1) \in K$ the coefficients of the *normalized mock modular form*

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha(\tau) := \mathcal{M}_f^+(\tau) - \alpha\mathcal{E}_f(\tau)$$

lie in the number field K as well. Of course we can always choose $\alpha = c^+(1)$. In the case where f has CM by a field of discriminant d, Theorem 1.3 in [4] tells us that we can even choose $\alpha = 0$ if we replace K by $K(\zeta_{Nd})$, the Ndth cyclotomic field over K.

Since by Theorem 1.1 of [4] $D^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_\alpha) \in M_k^!(\Gamma_0(N))$, we can write $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ as an Eichler integral $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \mathcal{E}_{g_\alpha}$ for some

$$g_\alpha(\tau) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} a^{(\alpha)}(n) q^n \in M_k^!(\Gamma_0(N)).$$

Since $M_k^!(\Gamma_0(N))$ has a basis consisting of forms with integral Fourier coefficients, we know that the coefficients of g_α must have bounded denominators, in particular the p-adic valuation of g_α, $v_p(g_\alpha) := \inf v_p\left(a^{(\alpha)}(n)\right)$, is bounded from below. By Theorem 3.6, we know that $a^{(\alpha)}(n) = 0$ whenever $p \mid n$ so that there can't be arbitrarily high negative powers of p

dividing the Fourier coefficients of $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ either, so that we can assume without loss of generality that the coefficients are p-integral, i.e, $v_p(\mathcal{F}_\alpha) \geq 0$.

For n coprime to p and $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the congruence

$$n^{1-k} \equiv n^{(p-1)p^{t-1}+1-k} \pmod{p^t},$$

which implies that for every $r, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r\varphi(p^t) \geq k-1$, φ denoting Euler's φ-function, we have that

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha \equiv D^{r(p-1)p^{t-1}-k+1}(g_\alpha) \pmod{p^t}.$$

Now g_α is a weakly holomorphic p-adic modular form of weight k in the sense of Serre [19] and by Théorème 5 (p. 211) of [19], we know that the operator D maps p-adic modular forms of weight k to p-adic modular forms of weight $k+2$. This follows immediately from the fact that the *Serre derivative* $\theta(g) := D(g) - \frac{k}{12}E_2 g$, maps modular forms of weight k to modular forms of weight $k+2$ and that E_2 is a p-adic modular form of weight 2. But this means that we have found a p-adically convergent sequence of p-adic modular forms which converges to $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$, so $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ is a p-adic modular form as well. Since the sequence of weights $2-k+r(p-1)p^{t-1}$ p-adically converges to $2-k$, the weight of $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ as a p-adic modular form is indeed $2-k$, so that

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha f = \mathcal{M}_f^+ f - \alpha \mathcal{E}_f f$$

becomes a p-adic modular form of weight 2. If we now apply this in (5.1), our theorem follows.

Remark. Note that with the definitions from the above proof we can be more precise and make the constants explicit. For the non-CM case we can choose $\mathcal{L}(f) = \mathcal{F}_{c^+(1)}$ and therefore $\delta_1 = \frac{(4\pi)^{k-1}\|f\|^2}{(k-2)!} \cdot p^\ell$ for a suitable exponent $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta_2 = \delta_1 \cdot c^+(1)$.

6. Example

Consider the newform $f(\tau) := \eta(3\tau)^8 \in S_4(\Gamma_0(9))$, where $\eta(\tau)$ is Dedekind's eta-function. This form has complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, and is a multiple of the Poincaré series $P(1,4,9;\tau)$ since this space of cusp forms is one-dimensional. Theorem 1.1 applies for the prime $p = 3$. Using a computer, one obtains the following numerical approximations for the first few shifted convolution values.

h	3	6	9	12	15
$\widehat{D}(f,f,h;3)$	$-10.7466\ldots$	$12.7931\ldots$	$6.4671\ldots$	$-79.2777\ldots$	$64.2494\ldots$

We note that $\widehat{D}(f,f,h;3) = 0$ whenever n is not a multiple of 3. We define real numbers β, γ, and δ which are approximately

$$\beta := \frac{(4\pi)^3}{2} \cdot \|P(1,4,9)\|^2 = 1.0468\ldots, \quad \gamma = -0.0796\ldots, \quad \delta = -0.8756\ldots.$$

As explained earlier, these real numbers arise naturally from the theory of Petersson inner products. By Theorem 1.1 of [11], we have that

$$\mathbb{L}(f,f;\tau)=\frac{f(\tau)Q^+(-1,4,9;\tau)}{\beta}+\gamma\left(1-24\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sigma_1(3n)q^{3n}\right)+\delta\left(1+12\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\substack{d\mid 3n\\ 3\nmid d}}dq^{3n}\right).$$

Since f has complex multiplication, we have chosen $\delta_2=0$ in Theorem 1.1. In particular, we have that $\delta_1:=1/\beta$,

$$\mathcal{L}_f(\tau):=Q^+(-1,4,9;\tau)=q^{-1}-\frac{1}{4}q^2+\frac{49}{125}q^5-\frac{3}{32}q^8-\dots,$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}_f(\tau)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}b_f(n)q^n:=\gamma\left(1-24\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sigma_1(3n)q^{3n}\right)+\delta\left(1+12\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\substack{d\mid 3n\\ 3\nmid d}}dq^{3n}\right).$$

We note that one can easily compute $\mathcal{L}_f$ using the weight 4 weakly holomorphic modular form

$$m(\tau):=\left(\frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{\eta(9\tau)^3}+3\right)^2\cdot\eta(3\tau)^8=q^{-1}+2q^2-49q^5+48q^8+\dots.$$

It turns out that $\mathcal{L}_f=-\mathcal{E}_m$.

Theorem 1.1 proves that $\mathcal{L}_f$ is a weight -2 weakly holomorphic 3-adic modular form. In fact, it turns out that $f\mathcal{L}_f$ is a weight 2 cuspidal 3-adic modular form. A straightforward argument proves that this product as a q-series is congruent to the constant 1 modulo 3. This in turns implies that if $h\neq 0$, then

$$\widehat{D}(f,f,h;3)-b_f(h)\in\frac{3}{\beta}\cdot\mathbb{Z}_{(3)},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$ denotes the localization of $\mathbb{Z}$ at 3, i.e., those rational numbers (in lowest terms) whose denominators are coprime to 3. Moreover, we have the following higher congruences for every non-negative integer n

$$\widehat{D}(f,f,9n+6;3)-b_f(9n+6)\in\frac{9}{\beta}\cdot\mathbb{Z}_{(3)},$$
$$\widehat{D}(f,f,36n+30;3)-b_f(36n+30))\in\frac{27}{\beta}\cdot\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}.$$

There are infinitely many such congruences modulo any power of 3.

One striking consequence of the fact that $\mathcal{L}_f$ is a cuspidal 3-adic modular form is that modulo any fixed power of 3, say 3^t, "almost all" of the coefficients of this p-adic modular form are divisible by 3^t. Here we use "almost all" in the sense of arithmetic density (see [18]). This is equivalent to the assertion that the rational numbers $\beta(\widehat{D}(f,f,h;3)-b_f(h))$ are almost always multiples of any fixed power of 3.

To illustrate the phenomenon in general, we let

$$\pi(3^t; X) := \frac{\#\{1 \le h \le X \ : \ \beta(\widehat{D}(f, f, h; 3) - b_f(h)) \equiv 0 \pmod{3^t}\}}{X}.$$

Here we illustrate these proportions for various small powers of 3. We stress that the convergence to 1 is extremely slow.

X	$\pi(3; X)$	$\pi(9; X)$	$\pi(27; X)$	$\pi(81; X)$	$\pi(243, ; X)$
3000	1	$0.912\ldots$	$0.784\ldots$	$0.705\ldots$	$0.676\ldots$
6000	1	$0.917\ldots$	$0.792\ldots$	$0.711\ldots$	$0.679\ldots$
9000	1	$0.920\ldots$	$0.798\ldots$	$0.716\ldots$	$0.680\ldots$
12000	1	$0.922\ldots$	$0.800\ldots$	$0.718\ldots$	$0.681\ldots$
15000	1	$0.923\ldots$	$0.803\ldots$	$0.720\ldots$	$0.683\ldots$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
∞	1	1	1	1	1

References

[1] K. Bringmann and K. Ono, *Lifting cusp forms to Maass forms with an application to partitions*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA **104**, No. 10 (2007), 3725–3731.

[2] J. H. Bruinier, *Borcherds products on* $\mathrm{O}(2, l)$ *and Chern classes of Heegner divisors*, Springer Lect. Notes Math. **1780**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2002).

[3] J. H. Bruinier and J. Funke, *On two geometric theta lifts*, Duke Math. J. **1** (2004), no. 125, 45–90.

[4] J. H. Bruinier, K. Ono, and R. C. Rhoades, *Differential operators for harmonic weak Maass forms and the vanishing of Hecke eigenvalues*, Math. Ann. **342** (2008), 673–693.

[5] J. D. Fay, *Fourier coefficients of the resolvent for a Fuchsian group*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **293/294** (1977), 143–203.

[6] P. Guerzhoy, Z. A. Kent, and Ken Ono, *p-adic coupling of mock modular forms and shadows*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA **107** (2010), no. 14, 6169–6174.

[7] D. A. Hejhal, *The Selberg trace formula for* $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$, Springer Lect. Notes in Math. **1001**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[8] J. Hoffstein and T A. Hulse, *Multiple Dirichlet series and shifted convolutions*, arXiv:1110.4868v2.

[9] H. Iwaniec, *Topics in classical automorphic forms*, Grad. Studies in Math. **17**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[10] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, Colloquium Publications **53**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[11] M. H. Mertens and K. Ono, *Special values of shifted convolution Dirichlet series*, accepted for publication in Mathematika.

[12] T. Miyake, *Modular Forms*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.

[13] D. Niebur, *A class of nonanalytic automorphic functions*, Nagoya Math. J. **52** (1973), 133–145.

[14] K. Ono, *Unearthing the visions of a master: harmonic Maass forms and number theory*, Current Developments in Mathematics **2008** (2009), 347–454.

[15] R. A. Rankin, *Contributions to the theory of Ramanujan's function* $\tau(n)$, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **35** (1939), 357–372.

[16] A. Selberg, *Bemerkungen über eine Dirichletsche Reihe, die mit der Theorie der Modulformen nahe verbunden ist*, Arch. Math. Naturvid. **43** (1940), 47–50.

[17] ———, *On the estimation of Fourier coefficients of modular forms*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Vol VIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1965, 1–15.

[18] J.-P. Serre, *Divisibilité des coefficients des formes modulaires de poids entier*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris A, **279** (1974), 679–682.
[19] ———, *Formes modulaires et fonctions zêta p-adiques*, in *Modular Functions of One Variable III*, Springer Lect. Notes **350**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, 191–268.
[20] D. Zagier, *Ramanujan's mock theta functions and their applications [d'après Zwegers and Bringmann-Ono]*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 60ème année, 2006-2007, no. 986, Astérisque **326** (2009), Soc. Math. de France, 143–164.

Mathematisches Institut der Universität zu Köln, Weyertal 86-90, D-50931 Köln, Germany
E-mail address: kbringma@math.uni-koeln.de

Mathematisches Institut der Universität zu Köln, Weyertal 86-90, D-50931 Köln, Germany
E-mail address: mmertens@math.uni-koeln.de

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia 30022
E-mail address: ono@mathcs.emory.edu